

Feedback Control of the Atomic Force Microscope
Micro-cantilever for Improved Imaging

by

Matthew William Fairbairn

B.Eng (Hons) in Electrical Engineering

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

The University of Newcastle

Callaghan, N.S.W. 2308

Australia

January, 2012

Dedicated to my wife Joanna.

Acknowledgments

This thesis concludes my Doctor of Philosophy Degree in Electrical Engineering at the University of Newcastle. In the pursuit of this degree I have grown both academically and as a person. I would like to take this opportunity to thank the following people for helping me along the way in this journey.

I especially want to thank my supervisor Professor S. O. Reza Moheimani for his guidance, valuable advice, encouragement and support throughout the course of this research. Research in this area requires access to highly specialized equipment and expertise. Reza has built a state of the art research facility at the University of Newcastle with a large group of accomplished researchers. I feel privileged to have the opportunity to work in such a facility with a great group of people.

Thank you to Dr. Andrew Fleming for his advice and contribution in the early stages of this work.

I would also like to express my appreciation to my colleagues in the Laboratory for Dynamics and Control of Nanosystems for their inspiring discussions, help and friendship. In particular Dr. Ali Bazaei and Dr. Yuen Yong who have helped me in many areas of my research.

Thank you to my parents Terry and Debbie and my sister Sandra for all their love, care and support.

Finally, thank you to my wife Joanna. I would never have done this without her constant support, love and encouragement.

Declaration

The thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to the final version of my thesis being made available worldwide when deposited in the Universitys Digital Repository, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

Matthew W. Fairbairn

January, 2013

List of Publications and Awards

During the course of this research a number of papers have been submitted to international journals and conferences. The following is a list of those articles which have been published in international journals or accepted for publication, as well as a list of conference papers which have been presented or accepted for presentation. A number of conference papers were recognized by awards, as indicated.

Journal Articles

1. *Q-Control of an Atomic Force Microscope Micro-cantilever: A Sensor-less Approach*
M. W. Fairbairn, S. O. R. Moheimani, A. J. Fleming
IEEE/ASME Journal of Microelectromechanical Systems
Volume 20, Number 6, page 1372-1381, 2011
2. *Resonant Control of an Atomic Force Microscope Micro-cantilever for Active Q Control*
M. W. Fairbairn, S. O. R. Moheimani
Review of Scientific Instruments
Volume 83, Number 8, page 083708-083717, 2012
3. *A Switched Gain Resonant Controller to Minimize Image Artifacts in Intermittent Contact Mode Atomic Force Microscopy*
M. W. Fairbairn, S. O. R. Moheimani

IEEE Transactions on Nanotechnology

Volume 11, Number 6, page 1126-1134, 2012

4. *Control Techniques for Increasing the Scan Speed and Minimizing Image Artifacts in Tapping Mode Atomic Force Microscopy*

M. W. Fairbairn, S. O. R. Moheimani

IEEE Control Systems Magazine

Accepted for publication subject to a revision

5. *Sensorless Enhancement of an Atomic Force Microscope Micro-cantilever Quality Factor Using Piezoelectric Shunt Control*

M. W. Fairbairn, S. O. R. Moheimani

IEEE Transactions on Nanotechnology

Accepted for publication. 2013

Conference Proceedings

1. *Passive Piezoelectric Shunt Control of an Atomic Force Microscope Micro-cantilever*

M. Fairbairn, S. O. R. Moheimani, A. J. Fleming

Proc. IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics, page 634–639

July 3-7, 2011

2. *Improving the Scan Rate and Image Quality in Tapping Mode Atomic Force Microscopy with Piezoelectric Shunt Control*

M. W. Fairbairn, S. O. R. Moheimani, A. J. Fleming

Proc. Australian Control Conference, page 26–31

November 10-11, 2011

3. *Quality Factor Enhancement of an Atomic Force Microscope Micro-cantilever Using Piezoelectric Shunt Control*

M. W. Fairbairn, S. O. R. Moheimani

Proc. IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics

July 11-14, 2012

4. *Minimizing Probe Loss in Tapping Mode Atomic Force Microscopy Using a Switched Gain Resonant Controller*

M. W. Fairbairn, S. O. R. Moheimani

Proc. Australian Control Conference

November 15-16, 2012

5. *A New Approach to Active Q Control of an Atomic Force Microscope Micro-cantilever Operating in Tapping Mode*

M. W. Fairbairn, S. O. R. Moheimani

Proc. IFAC Symposium on Mechatronic Systems

April 10-12, 2013

Awards

1. Winner of best student paper award.
Australian Control Conference. 2011.
2. Winner of best student paper award.
IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics.
2012.
3. Best student paper award; high commendation.
Australian Control Conference. 2012.
4. Best student paper award; finalist.
IFAC Symposium on Mechatronic Systems. 2013.

Abstract

The Atomic Force Microscope (AFM) is a mechanical microscope capable of producing three-dimensional images of a wide variety of sample surfaces with nanometer precision in air, vacuum, or liquid environments. Tapping mode Atomic Force Microscopy has become a popular mode of operation due to the reduced lateral forces between the probe and sample compared to other modes of AFM operation.

The reliance on feedback control and the complex dynamics associated with this device have made it an interesting topic of research for control systems engineers over the past two and a half decades. Despite the amount of research which has been undertaken to improve the operation of this instrument there is still more room for improvement. The ideas presented in this work provide solutions to several problems associated with imaging in tapping mode with the AFM. These new tools, combined with those of other researchers, are providing scientists with an instrument which can image faster with improved image quality than its predecessors.

When operating an AFM in tapping mode the quality (Q) factor of the cantilever probe places a limitation on scan speed and image quality/resolution. A low Q factor cantilever is required for high scan speeds, whereas a high Q factor cantilever is required for high resolution and to minimize image distortion when scanning soft samples.

One other limitation to scan speed is the ability of the cantilever to track the sample after a large steep downward step in sample topography is encountered. As the

scan speed is increased the likelihood of artifacts appearing in the image is increased due to the probe tip losing contact with the sample.

This work introduces new methods of controlling the Q factor of an AFM micro-cantilever to improve the scan speed and image quality of the AFM operating in tapping mode.

Active Q control, which is based on velocity feedback, is commonly used to modify the effective Q factor of the AFM micro-cantilever to achieve optimal scan speed and image resolution for the imaging environment and sample type. Time delay of the cantilever displacement signal is the most common method of cantilever velocity estimation. Spill-over effects from unmodeled cantilever dynamics may degrade the closed-loop system performance, possibly resulting in system instability, when time delay velocity estimation is used. A resonant controller is proposed in this work as an alternate method of velocity estimation. This new controller has guaranteed closed-loop stability, is easy to tune and may be fitted into existing commercial AFMs with minimal modification. Significant improvements in AFM image quality are demonstrated using this control method.

The feedback signal in the active Q control feedback loop comes from an optical sensor which produces a significant amount of measurement noise. Piezoelectric shunt control is introduced as a new method of controlling the Q factor of a piezoelectric self actuating AFM micro-cantilever. The use of this control technique removes the noisy optical sensor from the Q control feedback loop. The mechanical damping of the micro-cantilever is controlled by placing an electrical impedance in series with the tip oscillation circuit. Like the resonant controller the closed-loop stability of this controller, in the presence of unmodeled cantilever dynamics, is guaranteed. A passive impedance is used to reduce the cantilever Q factor to improve the scan speed when imaging hard sample surfaces in air. An active impedance is used to increase the cantilever Q factor for improved image quality when imaging soft samples, samples with fine features or samples immersed in a fluid. A synthetic impedance

was designed to allow easy modification of the control parameters, which may vary with environmental conditions, and to implement the active impedance necessary for cantilever Q factor enhancement.

The switched gain resonant controller is presented as a new method of improving the ability of the cantilever to track the sample when imaging at high speed. The switched gain resonant controller is implemented to switch the cantilever Q factor according to the sample profile during the scan. If the controller detects that the probe tip has lost contact with the sample the cantilever Q factor is increased leading to a faster response of the feedback controller, expediting the resumption of contact. A significant reduction in image artifacts due to probe loss is observed when this control technique is employed at high scan speeds.

Contents

Acknowledgments	iv
Declaration	v
List of Publications	vii
Abstract	x
List of Figures	xvii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 The Atomic Force Microscope	1
1.2 Modes of AFM Operation	3
1.3 Tapping Mode Atomic Force Microscopy	7
1.3.1 Micro-cantilever	9
1.3.2 Cantilever Actuation	9
1.3.3 Cantilever Deflection Measurement	9
1.3.4 Demodulator	10
1.3.5 XYZ Scanner	10
1.3.6 Z Axis Feedback Controller	13
1.3.7 Force Sensitivity	14
1.3.8 Tip-sample Force	16

2	Tapping Mode AFM Scan Speed Limitations	17
2.1	Bandwidth of the Scanner in the Lateral Axes	17
2.2	<i>Z</i> Axis Feedback Loop Bandwidth	18
2.2.1	Analysis of the <i>Z</i> Axis Feedback Loop Stability Margins	19
2.3	Increasing the <i>Z</i> Axis Feedback Loop Stability Margins for Faster Scan Speeds	21
2.3.1	Reducing the Demodulator Delay	21
2.3.2	Increasing the Cantilever Resonance Frequency	22
2.3.3	Reducing the Cantilever <i>Q</i> Factor With Active <i>Q</i> Control	22
2.3.4	Increasing the Bandwidth of the Scanner in the <i>Z</i> Axis	25
2.4	Alternative Signals for Topography Estimation	25
2.5	Scan Speed Limitations Due to Probe Loss	27
2.5.1	Analysis of Imaging Artifacts Due to a Large Steep Drop in Sample Topography	28
2.5.2	Methods of Reducing Image Artifacts Due to a Sharp Drop in Sample Topography	30
3	Modification of Cantilever Quality Factor Using Resonant Control	35
3.1	Degradation of Active <i>Q</i> Control Performance Due to Unmodeled Can- tilever Dynamics	36
3.2	Guaranteed Stability of Feedback Systems With Unmodeled Dynamics	38
3.3	A Resonant Controller for Cantilever <i>Q</i> Factor Modification	40
3.4	Stability Analysis of the Closed-Loop System With a Resonant Controller	43
3.5	Controller Implementation	44
3.5.1	Field Programmable Analog Array	44
3.6	The DMASP Piezoelectric Self Actuated AFM Micro-cantilever	45
3.7	Pole Placement Optimization Technique for Obtaining a Desired Can- tilever <i>Q</i> Factor	49

3.7.1	Reducing the Effective Cantilever Q Factor	49
3.7.2	Increasing the Effective Cantilever Q Factor	52
3.7.3	Modification of the Effective Cantilever Q Factor After Initial Pole Placement	54
3.8	AFM Imaging With the Resonant Control Technique	54
4	Sensorless Reduction of Cantilever Q Factor With Passive Piezoelectric Shunt Control	59
4.1	The Piezoelectric Effect	61
4.2	Piezoelectric Shunt Control of Flexible Structures	63
4.3	Passive Piezoelectric Shunt Control of a Self Actuated Piezoelectric AFM Micro-cantilever	64
4.3.1	System Modeling	64
4.4	Closed-Loop Stability Analysis of the Passive Piezoelectric Shunt Controller	70
4.5	System Model Parameters Obtained From Experimental Results	71
4.5.1	Determination of α by Measuring the Cantilever Impedance	72
4.6	Determination of Shunt Impedance Parameters to Obtain the Maximum Reduction in Cantilever Q Factor	74
4.6.1	Inductance	74
4.6.2	Resistance	74
4.7	Synthetic Impedance	75
4.8	Experimental Demonstration	78
4.9	AFM Imaging With the Passive Piezoelectric Shunt Control Technique	82
4.10	Obtaining a Desired Cantilever Q Factor	82
5	Sensorless Enhancement of Cantilever Q Factor With Active Piezoelectric Shunt Control	85
5.1	Active Piezoelectric Shunt Control System Modeling	87

5.1.1	Piezoelectric Transducer Electrical Model	87
5.1.2	Electromechanical Modeling of the Piezoelectric Shunt System	89
5.1.3	Modeling the Transfer Function From Actuating Voltage to Tip Displacement	90
5.1.4	Modeling the Transfer Function From Sample Topography to Tip Displacement	92
5.2	Synthetic Impedance	93
5.3	Experimental Demonstration	96
5.3.1	Determination of Shunt Impedance Parameters to Increase the Cantilever Q Factor	96
5.3.2	Cantilever Frequency Response	97
5.4	AFM Imaging With the Active Piezoelectric Shunt Control Technique	99
5.5	Active Piezoelectric Shunt Control for Other Micro-cantilever Sensing Applications	100
6	A Switched Gain Resonant Controller to Minimize Image Artifacts Due to Probe Loss	105
6.1	Control Philosophy	106
6.2	Switched Gain Resonant Controller	107
6.2.1	Switch Implementation	108
6.2.2	Amplitude Demodulation	110
6.3	Experimental Demonstration	111
7	Summary and Conclusion	117
	Bibliography	123
	A Field Programmable Analog Array Interface Circuit	145

List of Figures

1.1	Tip-sample force versus tip-sample separation distance.	4
1.2	Resonance shift of an oscillating cantilever as a result of a change in tip-sample force.	6
1.3	Schematic of the instrumentation of a typical AFM operating in tapping mode.	8
1.4	Development of the raster scan pattern.	11
1.5	A typical piezoelectric tube scanner showing displacement in the X axis.	12
1.6	Z axis feedback control loop.	14
1.7	Response to a change in sample height of cantilevers with different Q factors.	15
2.1	Block diagram of the active Q control feedback loop.	24
2.2	Simulation of a high speed scan of a sample with a sharp downward step.	29
3.1	Frequency response of the controller $K(s) = Ge^{-T_{cd}s}$ used to reduce the Q factor of an AFM micro-cantilever with the active Q control time delay method.	38
3.2	A frequency response of a typical AFM micro-cantilever showing the first two resonance modes with and without active Q control.	39
3.3	Resonant control feedback loop arranged in a positive feedback context.	40
3.4	Block diagram of the active Q control feedback loop.	41

3.5	Frequency response of the resonant controller $K(s)$ when α is positive.	42
3.6	Frequency response of the resonant controller $K(s)$ when α is negative.	42
3.7	Schematic of the DMASP micro-cantilever.	46
3.8	Magnified image of the DMASP micro-cantilever.	47
3.9	Photograph of the DMASP micro-cantilever.	47
3.10	Frequency response of the first two resonance modes of the DMASP micro-cantilever.	48
3.11	DMASP micro-cantilever three-dimensional mode shapes.	48
3.12	Frequency response of the DMASP micro-cantilever's first resonance mode (—) and fitted model (- -).	51
3.13	Frequency response of the DMASP micro-cantilever in open-loop (- -) , with a Q factor of 178.6, and closed-loop (—) with an effective Q factor of 37.5.	51
3.14	The open-loop pole (+) locations and closed-loop pole (x) and zero (o) locations of the DMASP micro-cantilever with resonant control Q factor reduction.	52
3.15	Frequency response of the DMASP micro-cantilever in open-loop (- -) , with a Q factor of 178.6, and closed-loop (—) with an effective Q factor of 990.	53
3.16	The open-loop pole (+) locations and closed-loop pole (x) locations of the DMASP micro-cantilever with resonant control Q factor enhance- ment.	54
3.17	Diagram showing the relevant dimensions of the NT-MDT TGZ1 cali- bration grating.	55
3.18	Images of the NT-MDT TGZ1 calibration grating obtained at a scan speed of 20 $\mu\text{m/s}$	56
3.19	Cross section of the NT-MDT TGZ1 sample topography obtained with (—) and without (- -) resonant control.	57

4.1	Response of a piezoelectric transducer to an applied force and an applied voltage.	62
4.2	Piezoelectric shunt control of a flexible structure.	63
4.3	Piezoelectric shunt control applied to a self actuating piezoelectric micro-cantilever.	65
4.4	Piezoelectric cantilever model describing the tip displacement d and generated charge q in response to an applied voltage v and disturbance w	65
4.5	Block diagram of the piezoelectric shunt control system.	66
4.6	Equivalent feedback system from v_s to d	67
4.7	Feedback interpretation of the transfer function from a disturbance w to cantilever tip displacement d	69
4.8	Frequency response of the controller resulting from the passive shunt impedance designed in Section 4.5.	70
4.9	Frequency response of the DMASP micro-cantilever's first resonance mode (- -) and fitted model (—).	72
4.10	Circuit used to measure the cantilever impedance.	73
4.11	Frequency response of the DMASP micro-cantilever electrical impedance.	73
4.12	H_2 norm of G_{dw} vs. R	75
4.13	An arbitrary terminal impedance $Z(s)$ implemented by a synthetic impedance.	76
4.14	Piezoelectric shunt control circuit implemented with a synthetic impedance.	77
4.15	Admittance filter $F_Y(s)$ implemented with an RC low pass filter.	78
4.16	Frequency response of $G_{dv_s}(s)$ with the cantilever in open-loop (- -) and $H^{-1}(s)G_{dv_s}(s)$ with the cantilever in closed-loop (—).	79
4.17	The open (+), and closed-loop (x) pole locations of the passive piezoelectric shunt controlled cantilever.	80

4.18	Step response of the DMASP micro-cantilever with and without passive piezoelectric shunt control.	81
4.19	Images of the NT-MDT TGZ1 calibration grating obtained at a scan speed of 20 $\mu\text{m/s}$	83
4.20	Cross section of the NT-MDT TGZ1 calibration grating image from Fig. 4.19(b) and 4.19(d).	84
5.1	Frequency response of the DMASP micro-cantilever's first resonance mode (- -) and fitted model (—).	88
5.2	Frequency response of the DMASP micro-cantilever electrical impedance.	89
5.3	Piezoelectric shunt control applied to a self actuating piezoelectric micro-cantilever.	90
5.4	Block diagram of the piezoelectric shunt control system (including R_p in the model).	91
5.5	Admittance filter $F_Y(s)$. The transfer function of the filter is $F_Y(s) = RY(s) = \frac{R}{Ls-R}$	94
5.6	Piezoelectric shunt control circuit implemented with a synthetic impedance.	95
5.7	Admittance filter $F_Y(s)$ with a switch to increase or decrease the cantilever Q factor.	95
5.8	Root locus of $G_{dw}(s)$ when $\delta = 1$ ($R \in [-\infty, 0]$)	98
5.9	Frequency response of $G_{dv_s}(s)$ with no shunt impedance (- -) and $H^{-1}(s)G_{dv_s}(s)$ with a shunt impedance consisting of an inductance of 313.56 mH and a negative resistance of -3150 Ω (—).	99
5.10	Frequency response of $G_{dv_s}(s)$ with no active piezoelectric shunt control (- -) and $H^{-1}(s)G_{dv_s}(s)$ with a shunt impedance consisting of an inductance of 306.10 mH and a negative resistance of -2450 Ω (—).	100
5.11	Images of the gold cluster sample obtained at a scan speed of 1 $\mu\text{m/s}$ with and without enhancement of the cantilever Q factor.	101

5.12	Root locus of $G_{dw}(s)$ when $\delta = 1.0187$ ($R \in [-\infty, 0]$)	102
5.13	Frequency response of $G_{dv_s}(s)$ with no active piezoelectric shunt control (- -) and $H^{-1}(s)G_{dv_s}(s)$ with a shunt impedance consisting of an inductance of 302.13 mH and a negative resistance of -2800 Ω (—).	102
6.1	Anadigm comparator with a switchable input CAM.	109
6.2	Switched gain resonant control feedback loop used to minimize probe loss.	109
6.3	Frequency response of the DMASP micro-cantilever. Natural Frequency response, $f_r = 56700$ Hz and $Q = 185$ (—). Frequency response with resonant active Q control, $Q = 50$ (- · -). Frequency response when probe is off-sample, $Q = 165$ (- -).	112
6.4	Images of the NT-MDT TGZ1 calibration grating obtained at a scan speed of 40 $\mu\text{m/s}$	113
6.5	Cross section of the NT-MDT TGZ1 calibration grating image from Fig. 6.4(b) and 6.4(d).	114
6.6	Z axis feedback error signal, taken from the NT-MDT TGZ1 calibration grating image in Fig. 6.4(b) and 6.4(d).	114
A.1	Schematic of the FPAA interface circuit.	146

